



Getting Payments Right

Goal Leaders

Gay Gilbert, Administrator, Office of Unemployment Insurance, U.S. Department of Labor

Jeff Schramek, Deputy Commissioner, Financial Services and Operations, Bureau of the Fiscal Service

Tim Soltis, Deputy Controller, Office of Management and Budget

Greg Till, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Fiscal Operations and Policy, Department of the Treasury

Megan Worstell, Acting Director of the Office of Financial Management and Chief Financial Office, CMS

September 2020



Abbreviations used in this slide deck:

- AFR: Annual Financial Report
- CAP Cross Agency Priority
- DNP: Do Not Pay
- FFSA: Federally funded State administered
- IG: Inspector General
- IP: Improper Payments
- OMB: Office of Management and Budget
- PAR: Performance and Accountability Report
- PICOE: Payment Integrity Center of Excellence
- PIIA: Payment Integrity Information Act
- PRAC: Pandemic Response Accountability Committee





To demonstrate stewardship of taxpayer dollars by focusing on getting government payments right the first time they are made and preventing monetary loss.



To build trust in government by better understanding the nature of improper payments and their relationship to payment integrity.



Getting Payments Right: New Areas of Focus



The spotlight on IPs increased with COVID-19 stimulus funding because the funds were distributed quickly, often under new criteria and authorities, and the overall risks for IPs were significantly heightened.

Around the same time, the Getting Payments Right CAP Goal had reached a new level of maturity, where we had obtained enough information about root causes and successful mitigation strategies to focus activities on demonstrating results.

As a result, beginning this quarter, Getting Payments Right CAP Goal activities were re-baselined to reflect new priorities that demonstrate and scale impact and focus on reporting results.

**Improper
Payments**





What's New?

CAP Goal 9 activities have been re-baselined to reflect new priorities that demonstrate and scale impact and focus on results. Here are some highlights of the changes:

Strategic Data Use

Laser focus on rapid identification and incorporation of data sets into Treasury's DNP.

Why? So that agencies can better pre-check eligibility requirements before making a payment to beneficiaries. We should share data across the Federal enterprise to the fullest extent possible and practical to detect and reduce IPs.

Monetary Loss

Focus on collaborating, partnering, and engaging with: the PRAC, Agency IGs, Agency programs with high monetary loss, the States.

Why? Reducing monetary loss is not a series of activities that should be conducted in silos. We come up with better solutions when we work together, and not separately.

Non-data Mitigation Strategies

Focus on pilots and scaling best practices.

Why? There comes a point when enough information is collected about a problem to call for action. For example, the CAP 9 Mitigation working group had identified Behavioral Sciences as an underutilized mitigation strategy. Now it is time to apply this strategy and determine its scalability and impact.



Strategy 1: Clarify and Streamline Requirements and Sharing Best Practices

Reduce agency reporting burden. Focus program resources on preventing IPs that result in monetary loss. Share best practices across the government enterprise.

Strategy 2: Identify Monetary Loss Root Causes

Address in logical order of progression programs with greatest cash loss and determine true root cause of IPs. Identify point(s) in the payment process where IPs occur.

Strategy 3: Strategic Data Use

Identify data sets and analysis techniques to detect and prevent IPs. Improve data quality and collection to enhance application of data analytics.

Strategy 4: Mitigation Strategies

Identify non-data related mitigation strategies to prevent monetary loss from IPs.

Strategy 5: Strengthen Partnership States

Identify opportunities to partner with States to improve IP detection and prevention capabilities in FFSA programs.





Strategy 1: Clarify & Streamline Requirements and Sharing Best Practices

Reduce agency reporting burden. Focus program resources on preventing IPs that result in monetary loss. Share best practices across the government enterprise.

Completed Milestones

- Provided guidance to agencies on risk based approach to dealing with IPs due to COVID spending
- Updated key areas of OMB Circular A-123 Appendix C and solicited feedback from agencies
- Launched efforts to standardize data collection of supplemental information to agency AFRs/PARs
- Launched behavioral sciences playbook/diagnostic toolkit project
- Launched IP Q&A platform

Looking Beyond

- Share best practices on detecting and preventing IPs
- Update OMB A-123, Appendix C and modernize the annual payment integrity data collection
- Engage public and private sector entities to present new and emerging technologies that have the potential to lower IPs and reduce fraud



Strategy 1: Clarify & Streamline Requirements and Sharing Best Practices Road Map

Goals: (1) Revise OMB A-123, including updates to OMB IP Root Cause categories; (2) Develop best practices playbook for Federal agencies on the application of behavior sciences non-data mitigation strategies.

Key Milestone	Milestone Due Date	Milestone Status	Change from last quarter	Lead
Launch of behavioral sciences playbook/diagnostic toolkit project	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	GSA
Recommended updates to OMB root cause reporting categories	Q4 FY 2020	Delayed	Delayed by one quarter	CAP 9 Root Cause Working Group
Completed agency review of draft OMB Appendix C guidance	Q1 FY 2021	New	New	OMB
Behavioral sciences playbook/diagnostic toolkit prototype	Q2 FY 2021	New	New	GSA
Revised OMB Appendix C guidance	Q2 FY 2021	On Track	Launched solicitation for agency feedback on select chapters	OMB
Completed behavioral sciences playbook/diagnostic toolkit	Q4 FY 2021	New	New	GSA





Strategy 2: Identify Monetary Loss Root Causes

Address in logical order of progression, programs with greatest cash loss and determine true root cause of IPs. Identify point(s) in the payment process where IPs occur.

Completed Milestones

- Identified IP risk factors associated with pandemic spending
- Identified common IP pandemic mitigation
- Revised OMB root cause matrix categories for IPs and obtained feedback from agencies
- Launched efforts to create an IP data repository and improve data quality
- Began IP outreach with agencies, as required by PIIA

Looking Beyond

- Focus efforts on programs driving the highest amount of cash loss.
- Engage with PRAC and IGs to identify areas of highest risk associated with COVID-19 spending.
- Improve data collection and reporting on [paymentaccuracy.gov](https://www.paymentaccuracy.gov) and perform data analytics
- Develop Federal risk profile for the COVID-19 pandemic and develop IP risk playbook for future





Strategy 2: Identify Monetary Loss Root Causes Road Map

Goals: (1) Collaborate with agencies having the highest monetary loss through IPs; (2) Continue risk management activities associated with the COVID-19 pandemic; (3) Build an IP data repository and modernize data collection efforts to improve quality of IP data for data analytics applications.

Key Milestone	Milestone Due Date	Milestone Status	Change from last quarter	Lead
Standardize data collection of accompanying materials in agency AFR or PAR	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	GSA
Direct engagement with agencies contributing to highest monetary loss	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	OMB
Targeted action plan for programs with highest monetary loss	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	OMB
Revised pandemic risk profile based on input from CFOC agencies	Q1 FY 2021	On Track	Draft risk profile was completed in June 2020	OMB
Launch of data analytics and data repository project	Q1 FY 2021	New	New	OMB/GSA/Treasury
Development of risk based/value based pandemic audit model	Q2 FY 2021	On Track	New	OMB





Strategy 3: Strategic Data Use

Identify data sets and analysis techniques to detect and prevent IPs.
Improve data quality and collection to enhance application of data analytics.

Completed Milestones

- Identified private and public data sets that could be used to perform eligibility pre-checks
- Identified data sets currently used to perform pre-checks and analysis aimed at preventing monetary loss
- Using targeted interviews/engagements with program SME, identified which eligibility criteria are linked to high priority programs
- Applied weighted average and rank ordered the identified data sources for use by DNP Analytics and the DNP Portal

Looking Beyond

- Combine capabilities and resources of the CAP 9 community, PICOE and DNP to expand availability of data
- Initiate specific projects to address IP challenges such as payments after death and compromised bank accounts
- Establish methods for programs to access/incorporate 'new' data sets into eligibility pre-checks
- Perform analytics assessment of data sets to determine potential benefit for use and potential return on investment for use





Strategy 3: Strategic Data Use Road Map

Goals: (1) Identify data sets to integrate into Treasury's DNP system; (2) Identify which eligibility criteria are linked to the largest monetary loss root causes & prioritize data sources by greatest impact to prevention of monetary loss.

Key Milestone	Milestone Due Date	Milestone Status	Change from last quarter	Lead
Identification and incorporation of new data sets into DNP	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	OMB/Treasury
Evaluation of agency Computer Matching Agreements needs	Q1 FY 2021	New	New	OMB
Development of government-wide program database of data sources used to pre-check eligibility	Q1 FY 2021	On Track	No Change	CAP 9 Data Working Group/GSA/Treasury
Identification of high priority data sets for use agency eligibility pre-checks	Q2 FY 2021	On Track	No Change	Treasury
Identification of agency programs that could benefit from shared data from programs with successful eligibility verification methods	Q2 FY 2021	On Track	No Change	CAP 9 Data Working Group/Treasury
Development of DNP agency outreach strategy	Q2 FY 2021	New	New	Treasury





Identify non-data related mitigation strategies to prevent monetary loss from IPs.

Completed Milestones

- Identified non-data strategies that have been proven successful at individual agencies
- Identified Behavioral Insights as an underutilized non-data mitigation strategy to prevent IPs
- Educated Payment Integrity community on the potential of Behavioral Insights in a March 2020 Town Hall
- Identified most promising programs for first cohort of pilots applying the behavioral insights strategy

Looking Beyond

- Identify additional opportunities to scale non-data mitigation strategies
- Share successful mitigation strategies and potential return on investment identified through data analysis
- Develop behavior sciences playbook for use by agency CFOs to determine viability of it application within their own programs





Strategy 4: Non - data Mitigation Strategies Road Map

Goal: Conduct pilot studies to reduce IPs through a non-data mitigation strategy involving the application of behavioral sciences.

Key Milestone	Milestone Due Date	Milestone Status	Change from last quarter	Lead
Development of Project Initiation Documents	Q3 FY 2020	Complete	Revised approach. Most promising programs for first cohort of pilots identified in July 2020.	GSA
Development of Project Design Documents	Q1 FY 2021	New	Revised approach	GSA
Pilot launch	Q1 FY 2021	On Track	No Change	GSA/Agency
Record of Analysis and Findings Document	Q1 FY 2022	New	New	GSA
Project summary and closeout	Q2 FY 2022	New	New	GSA





Identify opportunities to partner with States to improve IP detection and prevention capabilities in Federally funded State-administered programs

Completed Milestones

- Completed PMF Project to identify State POCs and document best practices and IP mitigation strategies in FFSA programs
- Initiated discussions with payment integrity officials across 10 states on best ways to lower IPs in FFSA programs

Looking Beyond

- Develop and pilot a standard application for a FFSA program and test with states to measure its impact towards reducing data errors affecting IPs and to assist with cross-state data sharing challenges
- Develop novel ways to help FFSA programs determine if a beneficiary should be receiving benefits. Pilot solutions to help agencies make those determinations, thereby reducing the workload in performing data matches





Strategy 5: Strengthen Partnership with States Road Map

Goal(s): Develop a plan containing tangible solutions to prevent and reduce IPs and a plan for State agencies to work with Federal agencies to regularly review lists of beneficiaries of State-managed Federal programs for duplicate enrollment between States.


Key Milestone	Milestone Due Date	Milestone Status	Change from last quarter	Lead
Development of methodology to estimate impact of dual enrollment program	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	CAP 9 States Working Group
Identify States partners to participate in duplicate enrollment study	Q4 FY 2020	New	New	CAP 9 States Working Group
Interview and survey state partners and existing duplicate enrollment prevention measures	Q1 FY 2021	New	New	CAP 9 States Working Group
Develop report to Congress on solution to regularly review FFSA beneficiary lists for duplicate enrollment	Q1 FY 2021	New	New	OMB/Treasury
Develop operational tool	Q2 FY 2021	New	New	Treasury





Annual Key Performance Indicator: Amount of Monetary Loss and Change in Monetary Loss

Cash Loss to the Government (FY18 - FY19)



Cash Loss - Cash loss to the Government includes amounts that should not have been paid and in theory should/could be recovered.

*Amounts were reported in millions of dollars

* Includes high priority programs and programs that have a \$100 million or more in cash loss.

* Additional Chid Tax Credit, American Opportunity Tax Credit, and FSA Agriculture Risk and Price Loss Coverage (ARC/PLC) did not report FY18 data.

Indicator Key

- ↑ Increase from Previous FY
- ↓ Decrease from Previous FY

Fiscal Year Key

- FY19
- FY18





PaymentAccuracy.gov

A Gateway to Getting Payments Right

LEARN MORE

Goal: Getting Payments Right

Change from Previous FY (\$M) **-\$14M** ↓

Cash Loss by FY (\$M)

FY16	FY17	FY18
\$1.1B	\$1.1B	\$1.0B

Priority Program Area	Status	Notes	EO
1. 02-2018 Make updates to Crop Insurance Handbook	Completed	EO as updated	Nov-18
2. 02-2018 Refine and analyze the expected cost data from the PERA review in preparation for 2019 presentation to Department Insurance Director (DIP)	Completed	EO as updated	Feb-19

FY18 Agency	Root Cause	Root Cause Description	Mitigation Strategy	Anticipated Impact of Mitigation
\$10M	Inability to authenticate	Inability to authenticate or use data to manage reporting and other critical aspects of the crop insurance policy and the accuracy of production certification.	2018 Crop Insurance Handbook updates to design and integrate and add the ability to collect data for the production from average satellite program review.	EO updates to the Crop Insurance Handbook are anticipated to provide consistent data and accurate information regarding requirements for accurate reports. The anticipated impact of the program review is improving accuracy of average reporting.
\$40M	Administrative or process errors affect a dollar amount of error representing different aspects of the crop insurance policy, such as average reporting, Actual Production History (APH)	Administrative or process errors affect a dollar amount of error representing different aspects of the crop insurance policy, such as average reporting, Actual Production History (APH)	Each year, RMA provides a brief overview to the APH. The program review portion of the types of errors identified during the PERA review process. To address the common types of errors RMA will provide a more detailed analysis to the APH.	Providing more detailed information to the APH about specific areas of administrative or process errors is anticipated to assist the APH in better identifying and correcting the specific types of errors contributing to the errors.

High-Priority Programs and Programs over \$100M in Monetary Loss

The government can achieve the greatest return on investment for the taxpayer by ensuring that improper payments are eliminated in the highest-risk programs, otherwise known as **high-priority programs**. These programs have improper payments estimates that exceed \$2 billion annually.

High-priority programs are designated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and agencies with high-priority programs have additional reporting requirements.

To reduce the monetary loss to the tax payers by issuing payments correctly the first time, in FY 2018 High Priority Programs will be combined with programs that have over a \$100 Million or more in monetary loss. This is an opportunity to improve the efficiency of government programs by focusing on reducing incorrect payment that result in monetary loss.

Determination criteria and reporting requirements for high-priority programs are found in OMB Guidance Circular A-123, Appendix C

Select a program from the list below to view program details:

